

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,007	06/27/2003	Young-Sang Byun	8734.217.00-US	4940
30827 7	590 10/18/2005		EXAM	INER
MCKENNA :	,007 06/27/2003 Young-Sang Byun 7590 10/18/2005 KENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP K STREET, NW	NGUYEN, DUNG T		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		AV
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/607,007	BYUN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dung Nguyen	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a solution. Triod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  WTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2     2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□     3)□ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final.  wance except for formal mat	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4)	are withdrawn from considera	ation.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang tection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Idents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/04; 7/05; 9/05.	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Application/Control Number: 10/607,007

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants' amendment dated 07/29/2005 has been received and entered. By the amendment, claims 9-14 and 22-27 are currently pending in the application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 9-14 and 22-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsuda, US Patent No. 6,646,689, as stated in the previous office action.

The above claims are anticipated by Matsuda's figure 2 and accompanying text which disclose a method of manufacturing an liquid crystal display (LCD) device comprising:

- an alignment layer line (forming orientation film), wherein a plasticizing line including an alignment controlling force inherently exits during orientation film processing (i.e., rubbing method).
  - . pattered spacers (forming spacer);
  - . a liquid crystal layer line (dropping liquid crystal);
  - . a sealant coating line (forming sealing material);
  - an assembling line (UV irradiating and actual UV curing);
  - . a cutting line (cutting panel);
  - at least one buffer line (Matsuda's improvement, i.e., restraining step, see abstract);
  - an inspecting line being an inherent step to complete the LCD manufacturing.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,007

Art Unit: 2871

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 9-14 and 22-27 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,710,843. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both application and patent disclose a same method of fabricating an LCD device comprising the steps of dispersing spacers, dropping a liquid crystal, assembling two substrates together through a sealant material and cutting the LCD panel. The difference between the application and the patent is the steps of forming an alignment layer and inspecting the LCD panel. It would have been obvious to one skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to form an alignment layer and inspect an LCD panel since there are conventional steps in manufacturing an LCD panel (e.g., see figure 3 in the instant application) in order to align liquid crystal molecules in the LCD panel, and to inspect the LCD panel.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,007

Art Unit: 2871

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 07/29/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants contend that Matsuda fails to teach or suggest the feature of "wherein the alignment line, the liquid crystal layer line, the sealant coating line, the assembling line and the cutting line are physically connected along a single fabricating line" (claim 9) and/or the feature "the first, second, third, fourth and fifth units being physically connected along a single fabricating line of the fabrication system" (claim 22)(amendment, page 11). The examiner is not convinced by this argument since the same is true of the Matsuda's single fabricating line as shown in figure 2. It should be noted that although Matsuda does not explicitly disclose all lines are "physically connected", one skilled in the art would be able to understand that all lines should be connected together in series (e.g., circular closed line) and related to each other to form an LCD fabricating system. In addition, the feature of "buffer line" (claim 3) is clearly taught by Matsuda's improvement (see abstract) as well as it can be interpreted as one step of transport between two lines; therefore, it would be inherent from the fabricating system.

Accordingly, the limitations of the above rejected claims are met.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/607,007 Page 5

Art Unit: 2871

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN 10/17/2005

Dung Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2871